Robustness and legitimacy



Prof. Evelina Trutnevyte, Marc-Jaxa Rozen

Workshop «Robustness and legitimacy of models for climate policy assessment», 26 May 2020





After decades of modeling



FEATURE NEWS

25 years of the IPCC

THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE (IPCC) was founded 25 years ago to provide authoritative assessments on the emerging problem of climate change. Since its first report in 1990, the IPCC has issued increasingly complex follow-ups about every six years. The climate models that feed into the assessments have grown bigger and better, but researchers have not succeeded in reducing some key uncertainties about climate change. Where the reports have grown most firm is in declaring that humans are causing the world to warm.



Source: Jones (2013) Nature

RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



A large ensemble of global solar PV scenarios

RES

(slides on unpublished work were excluded)



Your inputs on robustness and legitimacy



Open-ended survey (Feb-May 2020):

- 50 responses in total
- 36 researchers, 6 policy, 4 business, and 4 other stakeholders
- 37 responses from outside Navigate
- 24 integrated assessment modelers, 14 other modelers
- 16 countries



Findings on models and methodologies



* frequent themes

Choice and setup of General Thematic **Uncertainty and** models features features sensitivity Purpose **Thematic improvements Decision options** Uncertainty Wide variety of Structural changes in the human system Extended sensitivity and *Fit for purpose*; one • ٠ model cannot be robust technological and Demand side in much more detail uncertainty analysis ٠ for all questions behavioural options Does not eliminate the • **Distributional aspects** ٠ Different types of climate ٠ uncertainties in the future Political economy ٠ policies Simple vs. complex Meaningful, diverse scenarios Some aspects of energy, agriculture, and ٠ without anchoring Comprehensive with land systems greatest degree of Transformation Trends, insights and not **Biodiversity issues** . complexity; serving to numbers or predictions Transformative change and complicate rather than option for a sustainable Identify mitigation policies Climate simplify despite uncertainty economy Permafrost thaw, nitrogen cycle and its ٠ VS. Non-linearities, tipping ٠ impacts on vegetation, phosphorus cycle Simple, but not simplistic points, synergies, dynamic • Other and implications of carbon store feedbacks, black swans Understood and run . Stochastic representations, Effects of climate extremes ٠ easily conditional projections Afforestation and its effectiveness, e.g. ٠ Granularity Stability under small droughts, fire ٠ Sufficient spatial, temporal fluctuations in model ٠ Ocean in the climatic component and sectoral granularity parameters Not overly sensitive under ٠ different types of uncertainties

Findings on data and reporting



* frequent themes

Data and	Openness and reproducibility	Reporting	Reporting
validation		the modeling	the results
 Data Good quality data Up to date with the latest statistics and sectoral analyses Good base year calibration Realistic assumptions Validation Ex-post validation, matching the observed trends and impacts Comparison with other models and studies Multi-model comparisons; diagnostic work Verified structural equations, plausible reactions to changes in input data 	 Open Open data, code, documentation, model outputs, methodology FAIR: findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable Documentation at two levels: expert user and high-level user Reproducible <u>Ability to reproduce</u> the outcomes, independently from the researcher 	 Scope and limitations What the models can and cannot do and why Communicate routine omissions Methodology <u>Transparent</u> methodology and assumptions Functioning of the model and why it gives the results it does Normative assumptions and inherent judgments Explicitly address controversies associated with prior assumptions Model comparisons as tools to highlight the complementarities 	 Short messages vs. insights Short messages, main drivers and their effects Simple and yet capable to show the complexity behind the scenes VS. Insights on causal relations, trade-offs, opportunities without adding own value judgement on a certain solution Communicate <i>limitations</i> and sensitivity Detailed <i>matching of results with assumptions</i> Uncertainty vs. not too much Report <i>uncertainty</i> not to give a false sense of certainty Uncertainty is not fragility; no predictions Serve to expand rather than narrow the range of policies and approaches discussed VS. <i>Not too sensitive to uncertain</i> parametric and structural assumptions, and initial conditions

Findings on communication and process



* frequent themes

Communication	Legitimacy	Process
Focus on the users	Academic rigour	One-way communication
 Driven by user demands in order to be relevant, e.g. effects on business, other sectors of the economy, other sectoral policies Adapt communication strategy to the audience; empirical testing Not only OECD and not only experts Help interpretation; interactive platforms 	 <u>Peer reviewed</u>, including models, data, scenarios Tested by a broad community Developed and run according to the standards agreed by the community Assessed by independent scientific committee Verified by academic scholars and not by non-experts (including users) Track record of successful applications to climate policy analysis Open model and a broad and active community 	 Direct and objective messages Science first, independent of politics Issued by impartial institution and supported by an authority of actors VS. Two-way engagement Driven by user demands; connected to policy debates Ask stakeholders for the most relevant topics
Communication styleDon't overstate scope and certainty	 Open model and a broad and active community joining in to send corrections and updates 	 and discuss model results, e.g. in workshops Broad participation, including governments, industries, academics, and the public
 The concept of uncertainty should be better transmitted Meaningful reasoning, not alarmistic Results need to be contextualized to 	 Some caution Over-legitimacy: Decision makers are often referring to these models acritically Closed community 	 Include experts from all parts of the World Policy makers having access to the modelling teams to interrogate them
avoid misinterpretation General • More outreach and communication	 Various models as only one source of evidence Policy recommendations should be made by stakeholders who will balance their objectives with model results 	 Types of stakeholder inputs Agree on assumptions rather than results Co-design of qualitative narratives User-relevant model outputs

Please get in touch with questions and comments!



Prof. Evelina Trutnevyte Renewable Energy Systems, University of Geneva

Email: <u>evelina.trutnevyte@unige.ch</u> Website: <u>www.unige.ch/res</u> Twitter: @etrutnevyte @UNIGEenergy



RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS